

## To the Planning Inspectorate

From Wendy Orme unique reference EAIN-AFP039

## And Christopher Orme unique reference EA2-AFP053

Mr and Mrs Orme are joint owners of

Mrs Orme is the owner of The

and also company secretary of Wardens, Ness House, Sizewell - a Charitable company providing outdoor and indoor residential and day facilities for a wide range of disabled and handicapped people including the elderly and the Duke of Edinburgh's students.

We have serious concerns and objections relating to both the East Anglia ONE Offshore Wind Project and the East Anglia TWO Offshore Wind Project

1. The cliffs between Thorpeness and Sizewell are naturally eroding – Thrust boring under the unstable cliffs must threaten to speed up the rate of erosion and render it unstable.

2. The licenced borehole at Ness House serves Ness House, Wardens, Stable Cottage and The Coach House. Boring into the aquifer which serves this borehole could cause serious pollution. We have received no evidence that this threat has even been considered. We do not even believe they know of its existence - there is no mention of it in their documentation. Other boreholes on adjoining land are listed as unlicenced which we believe is not the case.

3. The intended point of entry is immediately south of Ness House as is the site for the Thrust Boring. The proposed line of the cables swings north coming close to Ness House, the two cottages and Wardens. We have received varying estimates of the time scale of these projects from three to seven years - in truth no one knows how long they will take. The noise of working, the bright lights at night and the disruption to access will cause serious inconvenience and annoyance to the cottages (our tenants may leave) and Wardens who may have to close for noise and safety reasons. If Wardens has to close for a significant period, it may never reopen which would be a huge loss to the community. The legal access to the cottages is adjacent to the northern boundary of the paddocks adjoining Ness House which the proposed pipeline will cross.

4. Disruption will not only be to humans but also, just as important, to the multitude of important wildlife and migratory birds in the immediate area. Light pollution at night will be very detrimental.

5. The consultation process has been a sham and lacks transparency. The methodology applied in the EIA is inadequate and incomplete. There has been no investigation into the crucial coral and crag base which holds the land together and which requires an assessment into what damage will be caused

6. We understand other companies are wanting to bring cables ashore from other wind farms at different points on the Suffolk coast as well as consideration of a Eurolink interconnector access point. Would it not make more sense to bring all the cables to one hub offshore with one connection to land to minimise the disruption?

7. The required land survey is to take place in March 2021 which will cause much disruption to land and livestock before the Planning Inspectorate's decision – is this acceptable? We understand the survey will include deep borehole drilling which could penetrate and damage the aquifer with potentially serious consequences for the Ness House community.

We would be very happy to expand on any of these points if required

Christopher and Wendy Orme

re